UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,621	01/26/2004	Rolando M. Puno	MSDI-292/PC1008.00	8919 <sup>°</sup>
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 10/01/200 ULT LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ONE INDIANA	A SQUARE, SUITE 28	00	COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
INDIANAPOL	IS, IN 46204-2709		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

2.1		. }	Λ̈́′
12	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/764,621	PUNO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Comstock	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider	nce, which
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \( \omega \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	g date of the final rejection.	im the final rejectionb.	takana taka k
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will make be ambound to	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	<u> </u>		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20-55.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will rided below or appended.	be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 4,5,8 and 10-18. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6,7,9,19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea <sup>,</sup> and was not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (l	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

\_\_\_

EDUARDOC. ROBERT ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to limitations that are not exclusively set forth in the claims. Specifically, Applicant argues that "Morrison does not disclose that each arm 11 includes a slot opening between a guide surface and an outer surface of each arm 11." However, the claim merely sets forth that a slot must open "therebetween" which can refer to between the pair of opposing guide members. Moreover, as the slot is immediately adjacent to and between both members, the slot at least "corresponds to" each pair of guide members. The lateral edges or wings of the spreader extend into this slot.